

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/569,173	02/22/2006	Mark T. Johnson	GB030145	7872	
24737 PHILIPS INT	7590 09/29/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			CRAWLEY, KEITH L		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/569,173	JOHNSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KEITH CRAWLEY	2629	

	KEITH CRAWLEY	2629						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavt, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it 	d for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	liance with 37 CED 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: see continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	6 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	7. Note in purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.								
Claim(s) rejected: 7-75. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
I. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 43(0)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. □ Other:								
/Bipin Shalwala/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629	/KEITH CRAWLEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629							

If entered, the Applicant's proposed claim amendment(s) in the Amendment (filed 9/21/09) would newly introduce at least the limitaion(s):

"wherein the first and second levels of brightness and associated sub-periods are selected so that the time averaged sum of said brightness levels of said pixels within said at least one subset is substantially equal to said overall brightness level of said image." to independent claims 1 and 12.

Such limitations, if incorporated into present claim language would dramatically alter inventive scope of the claims, requiring additional search and consideration. Due to the proposed amendments not being entered, Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

By such reasoning, non-entry of the proposed Amendment (filed 9/21/09) is deemed proper and necessary at this time.